

ORIGINAL

Betty Camargo

W-02824A-07-0388

From: LOUISE FRANK CLARA [fclara1@msn.com]**Sent:** Friday, July 18, 2008 7:06 AM

RECEIVED

To: Mayes-WebEmail; Mundell-Web; Hatch-WebEmail; Pierce-Web; Gleason-WebEmail

0000086787

Subject: Fw: ICR Water Users Association 2008 JUL 18 P 1: 23For your information, on our current on going AZ CORP COMMISSION
BUCKET CONTROL

Thank you for your time.

Frank Clara

----- Original Message -----

From: Larry & Tina BLIGH

To: evawerneradams@cableone.net; rfrent@cableone.net; peggy@holodeck.com; bastianAZ@aol.com; kbayle@cableone.net; Corinne1sb@yahoo.com; bennetttranch@cableone.net; Decaf88@yahoo.com; ahbledsoe1@earthlink.net; lbligh@msn.com; dblochberger@cableone.net; johnb62@prodigy.net; janb@cableone.net; francie86305@yahoo.com; kbrathw8@aol.com; Craig & Sandi Brown; ihbuechner@msn.com; db2hd@cableone.net; jlcabou@san.rr.com; Sallyruth@cableone.net; carlsonsw@cableone.net; jacknjeri@msn.com; Sleddogs2@MSN.com; annette.mason@att.net; Frank Clara; tiffyclark@aol.com; evaflcollins@cableone.net; costello@northlink.com; c2prescott@aol.com; Margreenda@aol.com; lolowobs@gmail.com; loradrake@cableone.net; vdubroy@cableone.net; cdutra5050@verizon.net; fengelaz@aol.com; jamesnhope@cableone.net; fevans1984@aol.com; wevans1984@aol.com; AAJetflyer@gmail.com; Jerry & Vickie Fogarty; Veronica.Lawrence@McKesson.com; VFCONNECT@aol.com; cindygibso@yahoo.com; flysr22@cableone.net; mora@cableone.net; abe_greenberg@msn.com; Djgross43@aol.com; jagdesign@earthlink.net; bophad13@yahoo.com; babesug@cableone.net; phas@earthlink.net; ijhawksworth@yahoo.com; mmhecht@cableone.net; mrshilk@hotmail.com; blue54@cableone.net; r_holt@mac.com; d_holt@mac.com; helaine@cableone.net; asurhoskin@cableone.net; rjhoward@cableone.net; atclipsplace@aol.com; grussellhubbard@yahoo.com; ed-marie@earthlink.net; gwj2001@hotmail.com; joykaiser@wil.midco.net; bnckay@msn.com; schollyk@yahoo.com; b_kirkbride@yahoo.com; maxman@cableone.net; Madre5_6884@msn.com; jpkowalewski@msn.com; slavoy2@yahoo.com; lrlavoy2@yahoo.com; Gene Leasure; glockwood@commspeed.net; tleegee@cableone.net

Sent: Thursday, July 17, 2008 12:50 PM**Subject:** ICR Water Users Association

ICR Friends & Neighbors,

As most of you likely remember, I sent an e-mail to our community on 7/8/08 and attached an e-mail communication that a small group of us had received from one of our ICR Water Users Association (ICRWUA) Board members related to a "special meeting" scheduled on 7/10/08. The purpose of the "special meeting" was to deal with the resignation of ICRWUA's President and election of a new President from within the existing Board. As I noted in that message, I waited from 7/5/08, when I had received the message until 7/8/08 to see if the Board was going to do the right thing and provide notice to the entire ICRWUA membership before the scheduled meeting, which they did not. However, it is interesting, since that meeting, they did manage to send out an e-mail to the community on 7/11/08 communicating the results of their election of Hugh Pryor as our new Association President.

Since the election of Mr. Pryor as the new President, an e-mail communication was sent to him, with a copy to all other Board members asking if he might be able to answer three reasonable questions that have remained unanswered by the Board to this point. The three questions covered the areas of when answers might be received on questions submitted on 6/3/08 at the ICRWUA membership meeting as was promised, when results of the survey would be made available from the same meeting and when we might expect some answers on open issues related to the proposed LOU and the overall rate case. Giving credit where it is due, Mr. Pryor acknowledged the communication asking that he be given a few days to work on putting together a

7/18/2008

response. His acknowledgement also went to all other Board members.

The following day, 7/13/08, Shirley Lilien, who is one of our ICRWUA Board members and a Talking Rock resident, responded back to which I must believe she thought was only to Mr. Pryor, critiquing his response to the questions presented and offering her opinions on just what she felt the Board has an obligation to the owners of ICRWUA. Unfortunately, or fortunately, depending on who you are, Ms. Lilien's response went to everyone on the distribution of the original e-mail. Ms. Lilien's e-mail response in total was as follows:

From: Shirley Lilien [mailto:salilien@cableone.net]
Sent: Sunday, July 13, 2008 9:11 PM
To: Hugh Pryor; 'Jerome Reid'
Cc: 'Bill Meyer'; 'Earl Cummings'; 'Hal Lobaugh'; Bob Busch; Metli, Robert
Subject: Re: ICRWUA

You were on track when you said that a flurry of e-mails is not the way to communicate. His response to you demonstrates that no matter what you say, he will rip it apart. The e-mails are much too personal and inappropriate and have gotten out of hand with constant demands for immediate individual response.

A good policy for the new administration would be that non-emergency questions will be responded to at open meetings. There will be no individual e-mail responses.

For example, I don't think we are required to work on the Q & A's on an emergency schedule. We can discuss those at our regular meeting, after regular business, and mail the finished product to all members at the same time...not just to a few. Same for the survey.

As to Daynes's rejection of the LOU...I think it is time for the residents of Talking Rock to start speaking up ..what is best for them?

Shirley

Personally, I am outraged at Ms. Lilien's response and I believe the final comment in her e-mail certainly shows her true colors, if not others on the Board. It is clear to me that instead of working as an elected Board member to manage the Association in the best interests of all owners, she appears to have clearly drawn a line where her allegiance lies. My question to Ms. Lilien is how can anyone sit in a position on a Board such as this, and openly work to move a for-profit developer's agenda forward and further divide our Association with comments such as these? How should anything be different for the residents of Talking Rock? It is clear to me that she feels that somehow something should be different for those Association members as to what is "best for them?" The members of our Association in Talking Rock receive the same service and all pay the same tariffed rate for water as the rest of us... except of course for the developer and the golf course. Is she suggesting that they (Talking Rock members) need to "start speaking up" for the developer? As I said, personally I feel these comments are outrageous and completely unacceptable for an elected Board member charged to represent all owner/members interests in the Association. I also believe that the Arizona Corporation Commission (ACC) needs to see and read exactly what is going on here and I am going to work to see that it is done.

If anyone would like a copy of the entire e-mail string where Ms. Lilien's comments were taken from, please let me know and I will be more than happy to provide it.

Also, just to let everyone know, the ICRWUA Board has its regularly scheduled meeting set for 7/22/08. I personally feel that the owners of this Association are due some answers and attending that meeting might be in Association owners interests.

7/18/2008

As always, thank you for your time and should you have any questions, please feel free to call me at 928.776.1937.

Larry Bligh

7/18/2008